UNITED STATES TREASURY DEPARTMENT

PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS

ISSUED WEEKLY

BY THE UNITED STATES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

Volume 54 :: :: Number 3

JANUARY 20 - - - 1939

IN THIS ISSUE

Summary of Current Prevalence of Communicable Diseases Basal Metabolism Tests of Nervously Disturbed Patients Extent to Which Case Records Guide the Nursing Service Natality and Mortality Data for the United States, 1937 Preliminary Mortality Summary for Large Cities for 1938



UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1939

PUBLIC LIBRAR

UNITED STATES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

THOMAS PARRAN, Surgeon General

DIVISION OF SANITARY REPORTS AND STATISTICS

Asst. Surg. Gen. ROBERT OLESEN, Chief of Division

SARBLI CHELLS

The Public Health Reports, first published in 1878 under authority of an act of Congress of April 29 of that year, is issued weekly by the United States Public Health Service through the Division of Sanitary Reports and Statistics, pursuant to the following authority of law: United States Code, title 42, sections 7, 30, 93; title 44, section 220.

It contains (1) current information regarding the prevalence and geographic distribution of communicable diseases in the United States, insofar as data are obtainable, and of cholera, plague, smallpox, typhus fever, yellow fever, and other important communicable diseases throughout the world; (2) articles relating to the cause, prevention, and control of disease; (3) other pertinent information regarding sanitation and the conservation of the public health.

The Public Health Reports is published primarily for distribution, in accordance with the law, to health officers, members of boards or departments of health, and other persons directly or indirectly engaged in public health work. Articles of special interest are issued as reprints or as supplements, in which forms they are made available for more economical and general distribution.

Requests for and communications regarding the Public Health Reports, reprints, or supplements should be addressed to the Surgeon General, United States Public Health Service, Washington, D. C. Subscribers should remit direct to the Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D. C.

Librarians and others should preserve their copies for binding, as the Public Health Service is unable to supply the general demand for bound copies. Indexes will be supplied upon request.

PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS

VOL. 54

JANUARY 20, 1939

NO. 3

PREVALENCE OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES IN THE UNITED STATES

December 4-31, 1938

The accompanying table summarizes the prevalence of eight important communicable diseases, based on weekly telegraphic reports from State health departments. The reports from each State are published in the Public Health Reports under the section "Prevalence of disease." The table gives the number of cases of these diseases for the 4-week period ending December 31, the number reported for the corresponding period in 1937, and the median number for the years 1933-37.

DISEASES ABOVE MEDIAN PREVALENCE

Influenza.—The influenza incidence remained slightly above the seasonal average. For the 4 weeks ending December 31 the number of cases totaled 7,736, as compared with 7,481, 7,985, and 5,536 for the corresponding period in 1937, 1936, and 1935, respectively. The disease was most prevalent in the South Atlantic, West South Central, and Mountain regions, but the number of cases was not large in any region and so far this winter there has been no indication of an epidemic of this disease. The Middle Atlantic, East North Central, and Pacific regions reported a relatively low incidence, and the New England, West North Central, and East South Central regions reported about the 1933–37 average incidence. For the year 1938 the number of reported cases, about 56,000, was the lowest in the 10 years for which these data are available.

Smallpox.—The number of cases (719) of smallpox was approximately 50 percent of the number reported for the corresponding period in 1937, but it was about 10 percent above the 1933–37 average incidence for this period. The number of cases was relatively high in the East North Central and West South Central regions; about normal in the West North Central and Mountain regions, and low in the South Atlantic, East South Central, and Pacific regions, while the North Atlantic regions apparently remained free from the disease.

113570°-39--1

Number of reported cases of 8 communicable diseases in the United States during the 4-week period December 4-31, the number for the corresponding period 1933-371 and the median number of cases reported for the corresponding period 1933-371

Division	Current	1937	5-year median	Current	1937	5-year median	Current	1937	5-year median	Current	1937	5-year median
		Diphtheria			Influenza :			Measles 1		Meningo	Meningococcus meningitis	ningitis
United States 1	2,788	2, 551	3,861	7,736	7, 481	7,481	18, 196	32, 813	20, 496	158	317	317
New England Middle Atlantic. Bast North Central West North Central Bouth Atlantic Est South Central West South Central West South Central Mountain	115 349 493 206 633 633 128 128 212	226 226 226 274 266 401 140	882 882 788 788 788 789 990 990 990 990	32 30 300 2,807 2,803 851 2,534	18 97 494 11, 410 1, 415 3,076 354 301	2.007 2.007 2.007 1,509 254 301	1, 093 3, 429 1, 836 3, 617 1, 942 324 4,006	1,336 13,459 7,593 4,521 8,169 1,219 866 857 290	2, 413 3, 589 1, 984 1, 984 1, 069 1, 068 857 744	- 128 33 2 5 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	279 279 271 271 271 271	445422528 831
		Poliomyelitis			Scarlet fever			Smallpox		Typhoi	Typhoid and paratyphoid fever	typhoid
United States 1.	26	134	185	15, 128	18, 928	18,928	719	1, 338	636	516	497	754
New England. Middle Allantic East North Central West North Central South Allantic East South Gentral West South Central Mountain Pecific	1011287	18 28 2 2 2 3 2 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8	24848552°	817 8,524 9,524 9,93 7,05 1,194	1, 431 9, 638 9, 157 1, 168 766 804 1, 103	1, 183 4, 173 6, 339 1, 393 1, 393 1, 221 1, 221	233 233 8 233 111	438 438 6 8 8 8 8 8 232 249 160	0 0 226 6 6 32 111 111	7.25 2.28 2.28 3.38 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4	2888888	8.52.52.53.43

148 States. Nevada is excluded and the District of Columbia is counted as a State in these reports.
144 States and New York City.
146 States. Mississippi and Georgia are excluded.

Since 1934 the number of cases of this disease has increased every year, and the 14,355 cases reported for the year 1938 is the highest incidence recorded in 7 years. The high incidence has been confined mostly to the Western and Central regions of the country, the Atlantic Coast regions reporting a very low incidence in recent years.

DISEASES BELOW MEDIAN PREVALENCE

Diphtheria.—The number of cases of diphtheria reported for the 4 weeks ending December 31 was 2,788, as compared with 2,551, 3,031, and 3,861 for the corresponding period in 1937, 1936, and 1935, respectively. While the current incidence was about 10 percent above that for last year, it was approximately 30 percent below the average incidence of the preceding 5 years. Each section except the West North Central and East South Central reported an increase of cases over the corresponding period in 1937, but only the Mountain and Pacific regions reported an increase over the 1933–37 average incidence. The steady decline of diphtheria that has been in progress since 1931 was apparently interrupted during 1938, the total number of cases for the year being approximately 30,000 as compared with about 28,000 in 1937.

Measles.—The number of cases of measles (18,196) reported for the current period was only about 55 percent of the number (32,813) reported for the corresponding period in 1937, and was about 10 percent below the 1933–37 median figure. The disease was, however, unusually prevalent in the Mountain and Pacific regions and was considerably above the seasonal expectancy in the West North Central region. In the New England, South Atlantic, and East South Central regions the incidence was relatively low. During the early part of the current year measles was unusually prevalent in all sections of the country except the New England. For the entire year there were approximately 800,000 cases of measles reported—the highest recorded incidence of this disease in the 10 years for which these data are available.

Meningococcus meningitis.—The incidence of meningococcus meningitis remained at a very low level, the number of cases (158) reported for the four weeks ending December 31 being only about 50 percent of the number reported for the corresponding period in 1937, which number (317) also represents the average incidence for this period. The number of cases (19) reported from the Mountain region was about two and one-half times the average incidence in that region, but in all other regions the incidence was comparatively low. During the entire year this disease has maintained a relatively low level. In 1932, 1933, and 1934, three other years of low incidence within the past ten years, the numbers of cases totaled 3,024, 2,839, and 2,303, respectively, as compared with 2,823 cases for the current year.

January 20, 1939 62

Scarlet fever.—The number of cases (15,128) of scarlet fever represented a decrease of approximately 20 percent from the average seasonal incidence. A few more cases than might be normally expected were reported from the South Central region, but in all other regions the incidence was considerably below the 1933–37 average incidence. The number of cases reported for the entire year (approximately 186,000 cases) is the lowest recorded since 1930, when a total of approximately 165,000 cases was reported.

Typhoid fever.—The incidence of typhoid fever (516 cases) was about 30 percent below the 1933-37 average incidence for this period. Each section of the country shared in the present favorable situation regarding this disease. The average number of cases for this period during the years 1929-37, inclusive, was approximately 900, which indicates the current low incidence. The number of cases reported for the year, approximately 14,000, is the lowest recorded in the 10

years for which these data are available. .

Poliomyelitis.—For the four weeks ending December 31 there were 76 cases of poliomyelitis reported, as compared with 134, 201, and 232 for the corresponding period in 1937, 1936, and 1935, respectively. During the current year the incidence of poliomyelitis has been the lowest in the 10 years for which these data are available, and perhaps the lowest on record. For the entire year the number of cases totaled approximately 1,700. The nearest approach to this figure is 2,745 cases reported in 1929 and 3,874 cases in 1932, the only other years within the past 10 years that this disease has not appeared in epidemic-like form in some section of the country. In 1931 the most severe epidemic of recent years occurred and the number of cases totaled approximately 16,000, while in the less severe epidemics the cases ranged from approximately 4,500 to 11,000 for the year.

MORTALITY, ALL CAUSES

The average mortality rate from all causes in large cities for the 4 weeks ending December 31, based on data received from the Bureau of the Census, was 11.9 per 1,000 inhabitants (annual basis) The current rate is the lowest since 1931, when the rate for this period was 11.4. The average rate for the years 1933–37 was 12.2, while the rate for this period in 1932 was 13.3. The low death rate during the current year has, no doubt, been due to the absence throughout the year of an epidemic of any kind, particularly influenza.

BASAL METABOLISM TESTS ON DISTURBED PATIENTS

By C. K. Himmelsbach, Passed Assistant Surgeon, and Othilia T. Mertes, Head Nurse, United States Public Health Service

It is difficult and sometimes impossible to obtain valid basal metabolic rates on patients who are physically and nervously upset. The narcotic addict undergoing withdrawal is restless, irritable, nervous, and apprehensive, in addition to being physically ill. Two of the major complaints encountered in our studies of basal metabolism on such patients are the tedium of lying flat on the back and the tendency of the mouthpiece to induce salivation and nausea.

In an effort to gain better cooperation and thereby possibly improve the validity of these tests, we considered it desirable to take these complaints into consideration and compare basal metabolism tests obtained on patients in the prone and supine positions, and with a mask as well as with the mouthpiece and nose clip attachment.

METHODS

After carrying out several preliminary tests for the purpose of acquainting the patients with the procedure, basal metabolism determinations were made during the last few days of addiction, and at two-day intervals for two weeks after withdrawal. Over this period a considerable range of metabolic activity was encountered in each patient.

All tests were carried out on patients in the post-absorptive state, between the hours of 8 and 9 a.m., and after at least 45 minutes of observed rest. A Benedict-Roth apparatus was used in all tests. The efficiency of the soda lime was tested daily. Check tests were made in every instance, and the lower of the determinations was used unless there was a discrepancy sufficient to invalidate the tests.

In studying the influence of position, tests were performed with the patients first in either the prone or the supine position; then they were slowly and gently turned to the reverse position, and 10 minutes later the second tests were made. The mouthpiece and nose clip method of attachment was used in all of these tests.

In the tests made to compare the mouthpiece and nose clip with the mask method of attachment, the patients were always in the supine position. Tests by both methods of attachment to the apparatus were carried out without a rest interval between tests, the only variable being in the order of attachments. The face masks used in these tests were plastic, hood sizes No. 820 or No. 821 (McKesson Appliance Co.), depending upon the size and shape of the patient's face.

RESULTS

Some patients preferred the prone to the supine position, and some patients preferred the mouthpiece and nose clip to the mask method of attachment. However, neither position nor method of attachment was found to have significantly affected the patients' cooperation as a whole; their chief objection when nervously and physically upset is to the procedure itself.

Although tests performed on patients in the prone position give tracings with a more regular alinement of the respiratory excursions, the basal metabolic rate is higher in the prone than in the supine position.

When tests were carried out first in the prone, then in the supine position, the basal metabolic rate dropped 2 percent. An analysis of the data given in table 1 indicates that this change is not statistically significant.

TABLE 1.—Effect of position on basal metabolic rate

(First prone (a) then supine (b). Mouthpiece and nose clip method of attachment)

Number of tests: 71. Number of subjects: 17

	(a) Prone	(b) Supine
Mean percent Range percent Standard deviation Standard error	-21 to +37 13.67 1.62	-21 to +36 10. 99 1. 30
Standard error difference		2.0

When tests were performed first in the supine, then in the prone position, the basal metabolic rate increased 5 percent. An analysis of the data in table 2 indicates that this change is statistically significant.

Table 2.—Effect of position on basal metabolic rate

(First supine (b) then prone (a). Mouthpiece and nose clip method of attachment)

Number of tests: 75. Number of subjects: 13

	(b) Supine	(a) Prone
Mean percent Range percent Standard deviation Standard error	-23 to +24 8. 38 . 97	-23 to +3 -23 to +29 10. 95 1. 26
Standard error difference Mean difference Critical ratio		b. 0

When tests were done first with the mask, then with mouthpiece and nose clip, the basal metabolic rate dropped 3 percent. An analysis

of the data in table 3 indicates that this change is not statistically significant.

Table 3 .- Effect of method of attachment on basal metabolic rate

(First mask (a) then mouthpiece and nose clip (b))

(SUPINE POSITION)

Number of tests: 26. Number of subjects: 14

	(a) Mask	(b) Mouthpiece and nose clip
Mean	-26 to +16 8. 08 1. 58	-8 -23 to +13 7.61 1.49
Standard error difference		3.0

When patients were attached to the apparatus first with mouthpiece and nose clip, then with the mask, the basal metabolic rate increased 2 percent. An analysis of the data in table 4 shows that this change is not statistically significant.

Table 4.—Effect of method of attachment on basal metabolic rate

(Mouthpiece and nose clip (b) then mask (a))

(SUPINE POSITION)

Number of tests: 11. Number of subjects: 6

	(b) Mouthpiece and nose clip	(a) Mask
Mean	-14 to +10 9. 36 2. 82	-23 to +9 7.51 2.26
Standard error difference		2.0

DISCUSSION

It would seem that the respiratory activity of an individual entails greater effort in the prone position than in the supine position, and that the increased effort is sufficient to reflect itself in his oxygen consumption. This is thought to result from the lifting effect of inspiration in the prone position, which is absent or greatly diminished in the supine position.

It would seem that the increased oxygen consumption encountered when using the mask method of attachment resulted from slight outward leakage around the edges of the mask during expiration rather than from any mechanical necessity for greater respiratory effort.

CONCLUSION

66

Neither the prone position nor the face mask is of value in facilitating basal metabolism testing of nervously and physically disturbed patients. Both methods yield higher results than the standard method, and the patients' real objection is to the procedure itself and not to the standard position or the standard method of attachment to the apparatus.

DO CASE RECORDS GUIDE THE NURSING SERVICE?1

By Mayhew Derryberry, Senior Public Health Statistician, United States Public Health Service

The keeping of extensive records of performance is considered to be essential by modern public health administrators. This documentation of activities has increased in importance as health departments have expanded their programs, increased their personnel, and consequently added more and more cases to their rosters of patients handled. Modern administrators of health work quite generally agree that if they are to carry on programs of any complexity they must have a system of recording the condition of patients and the services rendered them.

To meet the needs of these administrators, detailed forms have been worked out and more or less standardized, one of the most important of which, the individual case record, is utilized in the present analysis. As its name implies, this record is a report on the individual served by the health department. It gives his age, color, sex, and other identifying data, the conditions involved in the nursing visit, and a brief summary of the call. Such a record is now considered so essential a part of public health work that many administrators have ruled that nursing visits will not be counted unless they have been entered on individual case records.

Chief among the purposes which these records are said to serve is that of a guide to the nurse in planning her future visits to individuals. The usual practice in most departments which emphasize the use of these records is for the nurse to consult the file of active cases in making up her list of daily calls. On doing so she may find on a case record the statement that Mrs. R., a prenatal case, is negligent about drinking what is considered sufficient milk. On another card it appears that a pregnant woman in another household neglects several of the items that come within the scope of the nurse's work. It does seem reasonable that with this type of record before her the nurse

¹ From the Division of Public Health Methods, National Institute of Health. This is the eighteenth in a series of papers presenting an analysis of the procedures followed in county health departments, and the eighth paper dealing with nursing activities. Grateful acknowledgment is made to Miss Pearl McIver and Miss Helen Bean who supervised the collection of the data and assisted in the preliminary planning of the study. Particular recognition is due Miss Georgie Brockett who prepared all the tabular material.

would select the cases where previous observations had shown a greater need for her services.

An analysis has been made of case records to determine whether they actually are of such assistance to the nurse. The inquiry was focused on determining what recorded information influences nurses to revisit their cases. Specifically, answers to the following questions were sought: Does the data entered on the records of those cases visited more than once differ from the entries made for individuals to whom the nurses rendered only one service? Is a greater need shown in the entries for those revisited than for those visited only once? Also, is the recorded information for those revisited within a short interval different from the data on the records of those revisited over long periods?

The data presented in this paper apply to two county health departments,² and consist of a tabulation of the case records kept by the nurses of these two departments over a period of one year.

In county C the study staff introduced at the beginning of the study a set of forms patterned after those proposed by the Records Committee of the National Organization for Public Health Nursing and later incorporated in the volume on records by Walker and Randolph (5). In county B the forms already in use were amended to supply the extra information needed to make the data comparable for the two counties. Each nurse entered her judgment of the different items on the records in code, using 0 for satisfactory and 1, 2, and 3 as slightly, moderately, and markedly unsatisfactory; X indicated that the case needed medical attention and — denoted that no information was obtained.

A nurse from the study staff explained to the field nurses the purpose of the records and the method of keeping them, and discussed the subsequent use of the recorded data in the nursing program. Thereafter no attempt was made to supervise the record keeping. Each nurse was left to her own devices. The study conditions thus obtained are essentially the same as may be found generally among rural health organizations.

A clerk from the United States Public Health Service was assigned to each health department to make identical copies of the records kept by individual workers for the year covered by the study. These copies constitute the basic data from which the conclusions presented in this paper were obtained.

In seeking to determine the extent to which entries on the records influence nurses in their selection of cases for follow-up, comparisons of the records of those persons visited only once by the nurse and of those receiving multiple visits were made. In tables 1 and 2 are presented comparisons in terms of the identifying data. The question

³ A description of the characteristics of the counties, the method of record keeping followed by the nurses, and other factors that may have bearing on the data herein, such as case load, number of nurses, and distance traveled are given in references 1, 2, 3, and 4.

here involved is whether such factors as age, sex, race, and economic status influence the nurses in selecting their cases for home visits. The data show that these factors apparently are associated with the distribution of visits.

68

Table 1 .- Number and percentage of cases served according to number of visits received and identifying characteristics of the case

				Cases	served			
		Nun	nber			Per	cent	
Identifying characteristics of case served	Cour	nty B	Cou	nty C	Cour	nty B	Cour	aty C
	With 1 visit	With 2 or more visits	With 1 visit	With 2 or more visits	With 1 visit	With 2 or more visits	With 1 visit	With 2 or more visits
Total	1, 127	544	1, 568	3, 007	67.4	32.6	34. 3	65.7
Fex: Male Female	496 631	184 360	747 821	1, 396 1, 611	44. 0 56. 0	33. 8 66. 2	47. 6 52. 4	46. 4 53. 6
Race: White Negro	491 636	315 229	1, 446 122	2, 778 229	43. 6 56. 4	57. 9 42. 1	92. 2 7. 8	92. 4 7. 6
Economic status: 1 Comfortable and moderate Poor and very poor	277 843	73 470	675 885	1, 148 1, 848	24. 7 75. 3	13. 4 86. 6	43. 3 56. 7	38. 3 61. 7
Average size of family 3	7.0	7.6	6.7	6.7				

17 cases with 1 visit and 1 case with 2 or more visits were omitted in county B because of unknown economic status. S cases with 1 visit and 11 cases with 2 or more visits were omitted in county C because of unknown economic status.

² When the basis is the "individual," the mean family size is 6.5 in county B and 6.0 in county C as shown by Bean and Brockett (3). When the basis is the "case," the mean family size is slightly higher because of a greater number of cases in larger families.

It will be seen from table 1 that the nurses in both counties were more likely to revisit persons in the lower economic brackets than those in the higher; this is particularly noticeable in county B. Allocating return calls on such a basis is, of course, commendable, since nursing visits should be made where the need is greatest.

The data for county B show that the nurses paid return visits more frequently to females than to males, and more frequently to white than to Negro patients. It cannot be asserted arbitrarily that this was a conscious policy, but neither can the circumstance be set aside as due to chance alone since the differences are statistically reliable.3

Critical ratio =
$$\frac{p_1 - p_2}{\sqrt{p_2/N}}$$

and a value of 3.0 or greater was necessary before a difference was considered reliable.

The operation of this formula may be illustrated as follows:

Let p1=proportion of females visited more than once.

p2=proportion of males visited more than once.

p=proportion of all cases visited more than once.

q=1.00-p, or proportion of all cases visited only once.

N=total number of cases visited.

Substituting the actual values from table 1:

Critical ratio
$$= \frac{0.662 - 0.338}{\sqrt{\frac{(0.593)(0.407)}{1671}}} = 8.5$$

Bince the value of 8.5 is greater than 3.0, this difference is considered a reliable or significant difference.

³ The reliability of the differences was determined by using the standard formula;

Maternity cases account in part for the difference as regards sex, but not entirely, since even with these cases omitted the data show a higher frequency of visits to females than to males. In county C no particular differences are discernible in frequency of visits on the basis of sex or color.

The age of the patients appears to be related to the rendering of more intensive service. In county B the nurses revisited only 33 percent of their total cases, but made return calls to about 50 percent of the infants who were less than one month old at the time of the first visit. Likewise, in county C the nurses revisited about 66 percent of their total patients and about 75 percent of the very young infants. In general, the nurses were more likely to make but a single visit to older infants and preschool children. In county B there was a higher average of return visits to those patients over six years of age than to the patients as a whole. This may be partially accounted for by the policy followed in this county of repeating visits to tuberculosis patients and their contacts, most of whom are in the older age groups.

Table 2.—Number and percentage of cases served according to number of visits received and age group

				Cases	served			
		Numb	er			Perce	nt	
Age group	Cour	nty B	Cour	nty C	Cour	nty B	Cour	aty C
	With 1 visit	With 2 or more visits	With 1 visit	With 2 or more visits	With 1 visit	With 2 or more visits	With 1 visit	With 2 or more visits
Total	1 1, 126	1 543	1, 564	³ 2, 993	1 67. 5	1 32. 5	134.3	8 65. 7
Less than 1 month	41 188 45 462 152 238	43 53 11 94 125 217	23 99 64 487 727 164	79 89 67 823 1,602 333	48.8 78.0 80.4 83.1 54.9 52.3	51. 2 22. 0 19. 6 16. 9 45. 1 47. 7	22, 5 52, 7 48, 9 37, 2 31, 2 33, 0	77. 5 47. 3 51. 1 62. 8 68. 8 67. 0

¹¹ case of unknown age omitted.

According to the data presented in tables 1 and 2, the age, sex, race, and economic status of patients have some association with the amount of service they will receive from the nurses. The basic determinants in a nursing program, however, are generally conceived to be those conditions more immediately involved in nursing care than are such characteristics as age, sex, and race. One would not expect a nurse to visit a house because the occupant was a woman and for no other reason, nor because the person was white or colored. She might, of course, make the visit because of an infant so young that

 ⁴ cases of unknown age omitted.
 14 cases of unknown age omitted.

⁴ All cases served for maternity appear in the 16-year-and-over group.

his very youthfulness constituted a condition that demanded the supervision of a nurse. In theory, at least, the prime motivating factor in public health nursing service is the condition of the person visited, involving actual ill health or perhaps just a precariousness of existence, with race, sex, age, and economic status being but accessory factors.

70

If the condition of the person is the prime influence, and if the nurses are guided by their appraisal of the condition in choosing their cases for follow-up work, then one would expect to find for those revisited many more unsatisfactory conditions recorded than are registered for the case visited only once.

In table 3 it will be seen that such appraisal was not the habit among the nurses of these two health departments, at least for the 12 months of activity covered by these data. Approximately the same average number of unsatisfactory conditions prevailed among those cases where the nurse called once as among those where she returned for one or more follow-up calls. This was true for all services except preschool health supervision in county B, where a significantly greater number of unsatisfactory conditions was recorded for the patients on whom the nurse made more than one call. It seems rather unusual. however, that the nurses should tend to follow up unsatisfactory conditions in their preschool health supervisory work, while in choosing cases for the other services they should make no such discriminations. Perhaps there exists some explanation of this, but none was apparent in the data, either during the process of collection or of analysis. On the whole, it must be concluded that when the nurse made the notation "unsatisfactory" on the record, it did not necessarily mean that this was a lead for her to use in selecting cases for follow-up work.

Table 3.—Number of cases served and average number of conditions considered unsatisfactory on first visit according to number of visits and type of case

	Nu	mber of	cases ser	ved	Avera	ge numb tisfactory	er of con y on first	ditions visit
Type of case	Cour	aty B	Cour	nty C	Cour	nty B	Cour	nty C
	With 1 visit	With 2 or more visits	With 1 visit	With 2 or more visits	With 1 visit	With 2 or more visits	With 1 visit	With 2 or more visits
Health supervision: Infant Preschool. School and adult	272 443 90	107 69 42	140 15 73	187 27 210	4. 2 5. 1 1. 0	4.0 6.5 1.0	3.4 4.2 1.1	3.1 4.6
Maternity: Antepartum. Postpartum Tuberculosis Communicable disease	53 96 87 1 79	68 33 182 43	36 33 10 1, 244	67 49 32 2, 435	5.4 1.0 1.0 .4	5.3 1.2 .9 .7	5.0 1.4 3.0 .8	5.5 1.3 2.5 1.1

¹7 cases given first service during the last month of the study year omitted.
²17 cases given first service during the last month of the study year omitted.

⁴ The criterion of significance described in footnote 3 also applies here.

It might be mentioned that the rating of "unsatisfactory" as herein used has to do with situations that come within the nurse's field of operation as a public health nurse. For all patients it covers rest, elimination, diet, and other items important to the type of case being served. On the records of infants, such additional items as feeding interval and habit training were included. For communicable disease patients, isolation was added. Still further items were included for tuberculous patients, namely night sweats, coughs, and sputum disposal.

It is possible, of course, that the degree to which a condition was unsatisfactory would make a difference, and that the nurses might disregard the minor deviations to concentrate on the more serious conditions. This possibility did not, upon investigation, prove to be a reality in the home visits which furnished the data for this study. Analysis of the data with only the very serious conditions counted still reveals a lack of association between unfavorable comment by the nurse and selection of cases for return visits. The preschool health supervision for county B did not stand out in this analysis as a pronounced exception, so that the lack of correlation is characteristic of all the services.

The comparison was carried further and made between the extreme groups, those visited but once and those receiving four or more visits, since any influence exerted by unsatisfactory conditions should be more apparent the more a nurse felt impelled to revisit the case. But, as may be seen in table 4, the data again fail to attribute any special degree of influence to those conditions which the nurse recorded as unsatisfactory. Apparently when the nurse retraced her steps three times or more, she was not necessarily influenced by the unsatisfactory conditions which she had recorded on her first visit.

Table 4.—Cases served and average number of conditions considered unsatisfactory on first visit according to a specific number of visits and type of case

	Cases	with a sp	ecific nu sits	mber of		ge numb atisfactor		
Type of case	Cour	nty B	Cour	nty C	Cour	nty B	Cou	nty C
	With 1 visit	With 4 or more visits	With 1 visit	With 4 or more visits	With 1 visit	With 4 or more visits	With 1 visit	With 4 or more visits
Health supervision: Infant. Preschool School Adult.	272 443 71 19	22 7 1 1	140 15 51 22	75 12 87 41	4. 2 5. 1 1. 0	4.0 5.7 0	3.4 4.2 1.0 1.4	2.9 3.7 .4 1.2
Maternity: Antepartum. Postpartum. Tuberculosis. Communicable disease.	53 96 87 179	23 8 89 13	36 33 10 11, 244	32 9 23 142	5.4 1.0 1.0	6.0 .9 .8 1.0	5.0 1.4 3.0 .8	7. 5 2.0 2. 5 1. 2

¹7 cases served first during the last month of the study year were excluded.
²17 cases served first during the last month of the study year were excluded.

The only consistent trend appearing in the percentage of unsatisfactory conditions revisited is among the antepartum cases. The average number of unfavorable conditions is somewhat larger among those receiving four or more visits than it is among those attended only once, but the difference is not statistically significant. Furthermore, a more detailed tabulation than that presented here shows that the average number of unsatisfactory conditions among antepartum cases receiving one and two return visits show no consistent upward trend. The average number of observations recorded as unsatisfactory for those receiving one, two, three, and four visits, is 5.4, 4.6, 5.4, and 6.0, respectively, for county B, and 5.0, 3.7, 3.6, and 7.5 for county C.

72

Another factor that should be taken into account in evaluating the distribution of follow-up work is the interval between visits. Here again unsatisfactory conditions might be expected to show their influence. A number of serious conditions, it might reasonably be hazarded, would lead the nurse to return very soon. Hence a patient receiving a second call within a short time would probably have more unfavorable conditions than would one revisited after a longer interval. The data have been examined on this point and the results presented in table 5. Return visits have been tabulated according to those made in less than one month and those made at periods longer than a month.

Table 5.—Number of cases revisited and average number of conditions considered unsatisfactory on first visit according to interval before second visit and type of case

	Nt	imber of c	ases revis	ited		number tisfactory		
Type of case	Cour	nty B	Cour	nty C	Cour	nty B	Cour	nty C
	In less than 1 month	1 month or over	In less than 1 month	1 month or over	Re- visited in less than 1 month	Re- visited in 1 month or over	Re- visited in less than 1 month	Re- visited in 1 month or over
Health supervision: Infant Preschool	35 18 18	72 51 24	113 16 175	74 11 35	3.6 5.4 .7	4.1 6.8 1.3	3. 1 5. 4 . 7	3. 2
Maternity: Antepartum Postpartum Tuberculosis Communicable disease	44 16 68 42	24 17 114 1	56 40 27 2,373	11 9 5 62	5. 6 1. 1 1. 0	4.6 1.4 .9 1.0	5.6 1.4 2.3 1.1	5. 1 . 8 3. 8 1. 2

None of the differences revealed are greater than might occur by chance. The larger differences occur in the antepartum cases in

both counties and in the preschool cases of county C. Those patients revisited in less than a month are recorded as having a larger number of unsatisfactory conditions than those revisited at a longer interval. The other services reveal even less difference. The data presented in table 5, then, offer little to prove the definite influence of recorded unsatisfactory conditions on the nurse's subsequent visits to a patient.

So far, the data lead one to conclude that the total number of unsatisfactory conditions recorded by the nurses has no close association

with the processes of selecting cases for return visits.

It may be argued, however, that it is not the number, but the importance, of conditions that should influence nurses in choosing cases for return visits. Consider, for example, a tuberculosis patient who is recalcitrant about disposing of his sputum in such a way that others will not be endangered. That single item might well outweigh several minor derelictions by another tuberculosis victim who lives in comparative isolation. A nurse forced to choose between the two would more commendably pick the former than the latter.

If the selection does follow this course, and the nurse is influenced first by the nature of the condition, then the inquiry should turn on specific unsatisfactory items and the relative frequency with which they appear among cases chosen for revisit or among those not chosen. If the nurses tend to follow up an unsatisfactory condition of sputum disposal more zealously than that of elimination, they would include in their revisits all or nearly all of the unfavorable conditions in regard to the former, but would visit only once most of the cases with unsatisfactory conditions in regard to the latter. Under such conditions the cases revisited would have sputum disposal marked "unsatisfactory" more frequently than would the cases visited only once, while no such distinction would appear for elimination.

Following this method of reasoning a statistical analysis was made of each of the more than 90 items which the nurses graded on one record form or another.⁵ The full detailed tabulations are too long for presentation here. Instead, the items in which the occurrence of unsatisfactory recordings was significantly greater in either the group visited only once or the group revisited are listed below. In the first two columns of the list appear those items that were unsatisfactory more frequently in the revisited group. In the last two columns appear the items more frequently unsatisfactory in the group receiving one visit only.

[•] See footnote 3 for statistical method of determining the significance of items.

Items that appear significantly associated with patients receiving-

More	than 1 visit	1	visit
County B	County C	County B	County C
	INFANT HEAL	TH SUPERVISION	•
Umbilicus. Skin and scalp. Eyes. Nose, throat. Water.	Sleep nights.	Sun baths. Toilet training. Thumb sucking. Cod liver oil.	Toilet training. Eating between meals Eggs, meat.
	PRESCHOOL HEA	ALTH SUPERVISION	
Eyes. Teeth. Rest—day. Sleep—night. Sun baths. Regular stools: Toilet training. Bathing. Eating between meals. Feeding interval. Water.	(1)	(1)	
Cereal. Eggs, meat. Cod liver oil.			Cod liver oil.
	SCHOOL HEAL	TH SUPERVISION	
Elimination. Mental attitude.	(1)	(1)	Elimination. Mental attitude. Diet. Rest. Teeth.
	ADULT HEALT	'H SUPERVISION	
(1)	(1)	(1)	Mental attitude.
	ANTEPARTU	M MATERNITY	
(1)	Medical examination.	Nausea. Sleep, rest.	(9)
	POSTPARTUM	MATERNITY	
Sun, air.	(1)	Postpartum medical examination.	(1)
	TUBER	CULOSIS	
Mental attitude.	Night sweats.	(1)	Mental attitude.
	COMMUNICA	BLE DISEASE	1
Isolation.	Isolation.	(1)	Elimination.

 $^{^1}$ No items were significantly associated with patients receiving 1 visit or more than 1 visit.

Theoretically, at least, the items listed on the different types of records are important conditions for observation and service. If the nurses uniformly accepted them as important in their follow-up work, then practically all would appear as unsatisfactory more often in the revisited group than in the group visited only once. It is hardly conceivable that any items should be unsatisfactory more frequently in the group visited only once. Yet it will be seen from these lists that the number of items in the last two columns surprisingly approaches the number in the first two. In county C the former does actually surpass the latter.

Moreover, in only two of the items that appear in the list do the nurses of the two counties show signs of a common influence in selecting cases for follow-up work. One of these is isolation of communicable disease patients which apparently influenced the nurses in both counties to revisit the case. It would be quite out of keeping with tradition if this were not so. The control of communicable disease is one of the oldest duties of health departments, and the principle of quarantine and isolation is an integral part of public health procedure.

Another common entry for the two counties, but on the opposite sides of the ledger, is toilet training in infant health supervision. Evidently the nurses were not influenced to return to homes where the efforts of the parents to establish this habit had been unsuccessful.

No other common entries for the two counties are shown by these data. In their selection of patients for revisits, the nurses, so far as can be ascertained from the records they keep, are not activated by a common standard of necessity. They do not have a "must" list of conditions which are to be taken up again if they are found unsatisfactory on the first visit.

Further, there seems to be no consistent selection of cases for revisits within each county. An item recorded as unsatisfactory may be followed up for some persons and not for others. This is true for the notation cod liver oil in county B. It is adversely recorded more frequently among preschool cases revisited. But among infants it is entered as unsatisfactory more frequently among those cases where the nurse makes only one visit. In other words, the activities in regard to cod liver oil do not present a consistent trend that would permit the statement that the condition of this item undoubtedly influences the selection of patients for revisits. Nor do any consistent trends, aside from the one item of isolation of communicable disease patients, appear in the tabulation.

SUMMARY

A common argument for the use of case records is that they help the nurse in planning her return visits. The foregoing analysis of the records kept in two county health departments over a period of one year has attempted to measure the extent to which the nurses actually employ the records for that purpose.

The data do not bear out the assumption that the items now used on case records serve to remind the nurses of unsatisfactory conditions, and thereby influence them to return and try again. They show a certain correlation between the nurse's follow-up work and the common classification of population groups as to age, sex, and economic status. They do not show, however, any vital association between unsatisfactory conditions in regard to the items listed on the records and repeat visits by the nurses. In making their selection of cases for revisits, the nurses are apparently guided but little by their recorded adverse judgments on conditions which it is their business to observe, inquire into, and improve. The few unsatisfactory items that do appear to influence the nurses are by no means commensurate with the amount of time spent on recording the details of each case.

This analysis leads to the conclusion that the time spent in making entries of the type studied in this paper is largely wasted insofar as any influence of these case records over the follow-up work of the nurse is concerned.

The fact that the records are not the guides they are supposed to be is not presented as condemning the practice of record keeping. study does indicate that the alleged value of records is missed by those who are supposed not only to make them out, but to consult them regularly for information on what to do next. The data analyzed in this study do not permit an experimental determination of the type of record that would most effectively guide the activities of the nurse, but it is hoped that the material presented will provoke further consideration of the scheme of record keeping so that the theoretical value of such records may be converted into actual gains.

REFERENCES

- Mountin, Joseph W.: Effectiveness and economy of county health department practice. Pub. Health Rep., 49: 1232-1241 (1934).
 Bean, Helen, and Hankla, Emily: Case records as an index of the public health nurse's work. Pub. Health Rep., 52: 1077-1088 (1937).
 Bean, Helen, and Brockett, Georgie S.: The family as a unit for nursing service. Pub. Health Rep., 52: 1923-1931 (1937).
- (4) Bean, Helen: Number and length of nursing visits as indices of nursing service.
- Pub. Health Rep., 53: 913-921 (1938).

 (5) Walker, W. F., and Randolph, Carolina R.: Recording of local health work.

 The Commonwealth Fund, New York (1935).

THE GENERA DERMACENTOR AND OCTOCENTOR IN THE UNITED STATES

In 1906 Ricketts demonstrated that Rocky Mountain spotted fever was transmitted by a species of tick of the genus *Dermacentor*; and since that date, ticks infected in nature have been found and it has been shown that several species of that genus transmit the infection.

of

of

S

y

e

n

e

f

S

S

7

Because of the importance of ticks in the transmission of Rocky Mountain spotted fever and other diseases to man, entomologists of the United States Public Health Service Rocky Mountain laboratory, at Hamilton, Mont., have been engaged for several years in the study of the prevalence and characteristics of *Dermacentor* and other genera and in 1938 they discovered new species of the genus *Ixodes*, descriptions of which have been published in the Public Health Reports.

Based on information obtained in these studies, the United States Public Health Service has recently issued a monographic revision of a systematic report on the genus Dermacentor in the United States. Seven species are recognized, viz, variabilis, andersoni, halli, occidentalis, hunteri, parumapertus, and albipictus. Parumapertus marginatus is made synonymous with parumapertus, and nigrolineatus with albipictus. D. nitens is made the genotype of the new genus Octocentor. Two of the species, andersoni and variabilis, are among the more important human disease carriers of the United States.

The diagnostic characters are discussed in detail, and characteristics common to all the species are segregated in a separate section, thus making it possible to use abbreviated specific descriptions. Biometric measurements computed in percentages of other dimensions are included for possible usefulness. There are distribution maps for each species. Biologic data are omitted except for host lists of the larvae, nymphs, and adults. The successful hybridization of occidentalis and andersoni is recorded.

The results of an intensive study of the range of variation within specific limits are presented, and there are numerous illustrations showing the intraspecific range of color pattern and of the shape of the spiracular plates.

Emphasis is placed on the need for more accurate specific identification of ticks and the importance in relation thereto of thorough taxonomic studies made with an adequate appreciation of the importance of variations.

The males and females of each species are reproduced in color.

¹ The Genera Dermacentor and Octocentor (Ixodidae) in the United States, with Studies in Variation. By R. A. Cooley, Entomologist, Rocky Mountain Laboratory, U. S. Public Health Service, Hamilton, Mont., National Institute of Health Bulletin No. 171, pp. 1–83, text figures 1–8, plates I to XXX (including 9 colored plates.)

SUMMARY OF NATALITY AND MORTALITY DATA FOR THE UNITED STATES, 1937 1

The following table presents the final natality and mortality figures, by States, for the United States for 1937, together with the corresponding figures for 1936 for comparison. The rates are based on

population estimates as of July 1 of each year.

Preliminary figures, published in the Public Health Reports for July 1, 1938 (pp. 1073-1074), did not include complete reports for Massachusetts and New York. The final figures, as published here, include the total number of deaths in each of the States, the estimated population, and the birth and death rates for the 2 years.

Summary of natality and mortality data for each State, 1936 and 1937

		population	Total	births	Total	deaths			1,000 pulat	
Area	Jul	y 1	Total	on ens	10	delitio	Bir	ths	Dea	aths
	1937	1936	1937	1936	1937	1936	1937	1936	1937	1936
United States	129, 257, 000	128, 429, 000	2, 203, 337	2, 144, 790	1, 450, 427	1, 479, 228	17. 0	16. 7	11. 2	11.5
Alabama	2, 895, 000	2, 864, 000	61, 611	60, 116	30, 843	31, 153	21.3	21.0		10. 9
Arizona	412,000	406, 000	10, 494	9, 545		6, 551	25. 5	23. 5	16.8	16. 1
Arkansas	2, 048, 000	2, 023, 000	35, 236	33, 520	18, 364	18, 465		16, 6	9.0	9. 1
California	6, 154, 000	6, 059, 000	94, 230	84, 502		76, 094	15. 3		13.0	
Colorado	1, 071, 000	1,066,000	19, 610	18, 279	13, 833	13, 674	18.3		12.9	
Connecticut	1, 741, 000	1, 734, 000	22,774	22, 228	17, 892	17,858	13. 1	12.8		
Delaware	261,000	259, 000	4, 355	3, 922	3, 290	3, 317	16. 7		12. 6	
District of Columbia.	627,000	619, 000	12, 343	11, 704	8, 727	9, 094	19.7	18. 9		14.7
Florida	1,670,000	1, 642, 000	29, 507	28, 097	20, 960	20, 953	17. 7	17. 1	12.6	12.8
Georgia	3, 085, 000	3, 060, 000	64, 061	61, 658	34, 446	37, 263	20.8		11. 2	
Idaho	493, 000	485, 000	10, 369	10, 224	4,752	5, 014	21.0	21. 1	9.6	
Illinois	7, 878, 000	7, 845, 000	115, 282	112, 167	87, 739	92, 806	14.6	14.3	11. 1	11.8
Indiana	3, 474, 000	3, 459, 000	56, 087	54, 034	40, 929	42, 470	16. 1	15. 6	11.8	
Iowa	2, 552, 000 1, 864, 000	2, 543, 000	42, 105	42, 715	26, 485	28, 432	16. 5	16. 8	10.4	11.2
Kansas		1, 886, 000	29, 325	29, 998	19, 204	21, 674	15. 7	15. 9	10.3	
Kentucky	2, 920, 000	2, 883, 000	56, 163	55, 778	30, 899	32, 378	19. 2		10.6	11. 2
Louisiana	2, 132, 000	2, 122, 000	46,006	43, 828	25, 010	25, 974	21.6	20. 7	11.7	12.2
Maine	856, 000	853, 000	15, 246	15, 302	11, 465	11, 325	17.8	17. 9	13. 4	
Maryland	1, 679, 000	1, 674, 000	27, 739	26, 588	22, 083	21, 900	16.5	15. 9	13. 2	13. 1
Massachusetts	4, 426, 000 4, 830, 000	4, 425, 000	61, 736	61, 704	52, 248	52, 052	13.9	13. 9	11.8	
Michigan	2, 652, 000	4, 783, 000	91, 539	88, 427	53, 472	54, 781	19.0	18.5	11. 1	
Minnesota	2, 023, 000	2, 635, 000	48, 036	47, 576	26, 905	28, 630	18. 1	18. 1 24. 6	10. 1	
Mississippi	3, 989, 000	2, 008, 000	52, 095	49, 446	23, 856 44, 974	24, 128	25. 8 14. 3	14. 1	11.8	12.0
Missouri	539,000	3, 959, 000 531, 000	56, 951 10, 248	55, 916	6, 128	48, 767 6, 255	19.0	19. 6	11. 4	
			22, 270	10, 400	13, 199		16. 3	17. 4	9. 7	11.8
Nebraska	101,000	1, 364, 000	1,742	23, 798 1, 419	1, 322	13, 752 1, 439	17. 2	14. 2	13. 1	14. 4
New Hampshire	810, 000	100, 000 508, 000	7, 633	7, 679	6, 528	6, 438	15.0	15. 1	12. 8	
New Jersey	4, 343, 000	4, 328, 000	54, 607	53, 833	45, 003	44, 959	12.6	12. 4	10. 4	10. 4
New Mexico	422,000	422,000	13, 837	12, 907	6, 422	6, 248	32.8	30. 6	15. 2	14.8
New York	12, 959, 000	12, 935, 000	185, 502	182, 469	153, 772	153, 545	14.3	14. 1	11.9	11.9
North Carolina	3, 492, 000	3, 457, 000	79, 080	76, 182	33, 981	35, 630	22.6	22.0	9. 7	10. 3
North Dakota	706, 000	703, 000	12, 637	13, 571	5, 440	5, 654	17. 9	19. 3	7. 7	8.0
Ohio.	6, 733, 000	6, 713, 000	107, 576	103, 703	80, 189	80, 941	16. 0	15. 4	11.9	12. 1
Oklahoma	2, 548, 000	2, 528, 000	41, 456	41, 815	21, 313	23, 250	16. 3	16. 5	8.4	9. 2
Oregon	1, 027, 000	1, 017, 000	15, 457	13, 975	12, 341	12, 367	15. 1	13. 7	12.0	12.2
Pennsylvania	10, 176, 600	10, 136, 000	161, 288	159, 393	114, 949	112, 711	15. 8	15. 7	11.3	11.1
Rhode Island	681,000	681, 000	10, 240	10, 186	8, 334	8, 126	15. 0	15.0	12. 2	11.9
South Carolina	1, 875, 000	1, 860, 000	40, 643	39, 292	20, 540	21, 426	21. 7	21. 1	11.0	11.5
South Dakota	692,000	692,000	11, 908	12, 879	5, 959	6, 157	17. 2	18.6	8.6	8.9
Tennessee	2, 893, 000	2, 864, 000	51, 938	50, 571	30, 232	32, 522	18.0	17. 7	10. 5	11.4
Texas	6, 172, 000	6, 117, 000	116, 057	111, 602	65, 448	65, 803	18. 8	18. 2	10.6	10.8
Utah	519,000	516,000	12, 693	12, 551	4, 989	8, 126	24. 5	24. 3	9.6	9.9
Vermont	383, 000	380,000	6, 326	6, 449	4, 981	4, 957	16. 5	17.0	13.0	13.0
Virginia	2, 706, 000	2, 671, 000	51, 950	51, 247	31, 119	32, 202	19. 2	19. 2	11.5	12.1
Washington	1, 658, 000	1, 643, 000	25, 036	23, 376	19, 094	19, 356	15. 1	14. 2	11.5	
West Virginia	1, 865, 000	1, 830, 000	42, 240	40, 853	19, 190	19, 908	22.6	22.3	10.3	
Wisconsin	2, 926, 000	2, 908, 000	53, 543	52, 613	31, 973	33, 242	18. 3	18. 1	10. 9	11.4
Wyoming	235, 000	233, 000	4, 530	4, 753	2, 430	2, 401	19. 3	20. 4	10. 3	
	200, 000	200,000	4,000	4,	-, -50	-, -0.			-0. 5	

¹ Vital Statistics—Special Reports, vol. 7, No. 3, p. 6, Dec. 20, 1938, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

DEATHS AND DEATH RATES (PROVISIONAL) BY CAUSE, IN THE UNITED STATES, 1937, AND COMPARISON WITH 1935 AND 1936 1

In the following table are presented the numbers of deaths, by cause, and the death rates for 1937 with comparative data for 1936 and 1935. The 1937 data are provisional, but it is unlikely that there will be any material change in the final figures.

Probably the most important contributions to the slight decrease in the gross death rate in 1937 as compared with 1936 were reductions in mortality from tuberculosis, cerebral hemorrhage, pneumonia, and nephritis. The death rates for the common communicable diseases remained low, with the exception of influenza, which recorded an increase over both 1936 and 1935.

Number of deaths (exclusive of stillbirths) from selected causes, and death rates, United States, 1935-37

(Number and rate for 1937 are provisional)

Cause of death ⁴	Nu	imber of de	eath		Rate per 100,000 esti- mated population			
Cause of death.	1937	1936	1935	1937	1936	1935		
Total deaths	1, 450, 427	1, 479, 228	1, 392, 752	1, 122. 1	1, 151. 8	1, 092.		
Typhoid and paratyphoid fever (1, 2)	2,743	3, 182	3, 531	2.1	2.5	2.8		
Measles (7)	1, 501	1, 267	3, 907	1.2	1.0	3. 1		
Scarlet fever (8)	1,824	2,493	2,718	1.4	1.9	2.		
Whooping cough (9)	4, 981	2,666	4, 753	3.9	2.1	3.		
Diphtheria (10)	2, 637	3, 065	3, 901	2.0	2.4	3.		
Influenza (11)	38, 005	33, 811	28, 230	29.4	26.3	22.		
Dysentery (13)		3, 122	2, 436	2.3	2.4	1.		
Erysipelas (15) Acute poliomyelitis and acute polioencephalitis	1, 246	2,006	2, 106	1.0	1.6	1.		
Acute poliomyelitis and acute polioencephalitis	1, 461	780	1.040	1.1	0.6	0.1		
Epidemic cerebrospinal meningitis (18)		3, 020	2, 657	1.7	2.4	2.		
Tuberculosis of the respiratory system (23)	63, 330	65, 043	63, 488	49.0	50.6	49.		
Tuberculosis (all other forms) (24-32)	5, 994	6, 484	6, 592	4.6	5.0	5.		
Syphilis (34)		12, 612	11, 590	10. 2	9.8	9.		
Malaria (38)	2, 729	3, 943	4, 435	2.1	3.1	3.		
Cancer of digestive tract and peritoneum (46) Cancer of uterus and other female genital organs	69, 335	68, 239	66, 461	53.6	53. 1	52.		
(48, 49)	19, 981	19, 833	19, 198	15, 5	15.4	15.		
Cancer of the breast (50)	13, 939	13, 708	13, 226	10.8	10.7	10.		
Cancer (all other forms) (45, 47, 51-53)	41, 519	40, 833	38, 764	32.1	31.8	30.		
Acute rheumatic fever (56)	1, 958	2, 175	2, 238	1.5	1.7	1.		
Chronic rheumatism, osteoarthritis (57)	1,748	1, 829	1, 721	1.4	1.4	1.		
Diabetes mellitus (59)	30, 587	30, 406	28, 364	23.7	23.7	22.		
Pellagra (62)	3, 258	3, 740	3, 543	2.5	2.9	2.		
Alcoholism (acute or chronic) (75)	3, 305	3, 714	3, 349	2.6	2.9	2		
Progressive locomotor ataxia (tabes dorsalis), gen- eral paralysis of insane (80, 83)	5, 055	5, 453	5, 530	3.9	4.2	4.3		
thrombosis (82) Chronic rheumatic heart diseases (90a, 92c, 93e,	111,753	116, 562	109, 058	86. 5	90.8	85.4		
95c)	7, 454			5.8				
Diseases of coronary arteries and angina pectoris (94)	69, 758			54.0				
Heart diseases (all other forms) (90b, 91, 92a, b,								
93a-d, 95a, b)	269, 189	341, 350	312, 333	208.3	265, 8	244.		
Arteriosclerosis (except coronary), idiopathic anomalies of blood pressure (97, 102)	23, 059	23, 893	22, 327	17.8	18.6	17.		
Pneumonia (all forms) (107-109)	110,009	119, 378	104, 395	85. 1	93.0	81.		
Ulcer of stomach and duodenum (117)	8, 765	8, 566	8, 430	6.8	6.7	6.		
Diarrhea and enteritis (under 2 years) (119)	14, 406	15, 612	13, 204	11.1	12.2	10.		
Diarrhea and enteritis (2 years and over) (120)			4, 760					

¹ Figures in parentheses are disease title numbers from the International List of the Causes of Death.

¹Vital Statistics—Special Reports, vol. 7, No. 4, p. 7, Dec. 22, 1938, Bureau of the Census, Department of

Number of deaths (exclusive of stillbirths) from selected causes, and death rates, United States, 1935-37—Continued

Cause of death	Nu	mber of dea	Rate per 100,000 esti- mated population			
	1937	1936	1935	1937	1936	1935
Appendicitis (121)	15, 340	16, 480	16, 142	11.9	12.8	12.7
Hernia, intestinal obstruction (122)	13, 111	13, 433	13, 161	10.1	10.5	10. 3
Cirrhosis of the liver (124)	10, 960	10, 587	10, 083	8.5	8.2	7.9
Biliary calculi and other diseases of the gall-blad-	,	20,000	20,000			
der and biliary passages (126, 127)	8, 636	8, 863	8, 577	6.7	6.9	6.7
Nephritis (130-132)	102, 877	106, 865	103, 516	79.6	83. 2	81. 2
Puerperal septicemia (140, 142a, 145)	3, 727	4,606	5, 174	2.9	3.6	4.1
Puerperal albuminuria and eclampsia, other tox-		-				
emias of pregnancy (146, 147)	2,717	2, 784	2, 726	2.1	2.2	2.1
Other puerperal causes (141, 142b-144, 148-150)	4, 325	4, 792	4, 644	3.3	3.7	3. 6
Congenital malformations (157)	11,842	12, 093	11,840	9.2	9.4	9. 3
Suicide (163-171)	19, 294	18, 294	18, 214	14.9	14.2	14.3
Homicide (172-175)	9, 811	10, 232	10, 587	7.6	8.0	8.3
Automobile accidents (primary) (210)	37, 205	35, 761	34, 183	28.8	27.8	26.8
Other motor vehicle accidents (206, 208, 211)	2, 438	2, 328	2, 186	1.9	1.8	1.7
Other accidents (176-195, 201-205, 207, 209, 212-						
214)	65, 562	71, 963	63, 404	50.7	56.0	49.7
All other causes 2	188, 131	196, 023	190, 030	145.5	152.6	149.0

² Refer to complete International List titles.

PRELIMINARY MORTALITY SUMMARY FOR LARGE CITIES, 1938

The number of deaths in 88 major cities during 1938 was 424,189, or 5.6 percent under the 1937 figure of 449,555, according to preliminary reports made public by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce. The infant death rate in these cities was also lower last year than in 1937, the provisional rate for 1938 being given as 43 per 1,000 live births as compared with 47 per 1,000 live births in 1937.

The weekly death totals reported in these cities from January to July, inclusive, were consistently lower in 1938 than the average totals for the preceding 3 years. During the remainder of the year, however, the 1938 weekly totals closely approximated the averages of the preceding 3 years.

The more favorable mortality record of 1938 as compared with the average of the preceding 3 years is stated to be due, probably, to the smaller number of deaths from influenza and pneumonia during the winter and to the less extreme heat conditions during the summer.

The 27,147 infant deaths reported for 1938 represent a decrease of 1,598, or of 5.6 percent from the 28,745 reported for 1937.

In the comparison of death rates for different cities, certain considerations must not be overlooked. Primarily, the effect of differences in sex, age, and racial composition of different cities must be evaluated before valid comparisons can be made.

The figures given in this annual summary are compiled from weekly telegraphic reports received by the Bureau of the Census from departments of health of the cities listed. In most cases the provisional figures collected in this way agree closely with final figures compiled by the Bureau of the Census from transcripts of death certificates. In order to assist in the evaluation of the 1938 provisional data, provisional figures for 1937 are given along with final figures for 1937.

All mortality figures given in the accompanying table are tabulated on the basis of place of death, not place of residence. Deaths tabulated for any city, therefore, include many decedents not residents of that city, and exclude deaths of residents of the city occurring elsewhere.

Due to the impracticability of making accurate estimates of city populations, total death rates for the cities are not computed. Therefore, direct comparisons between cities are not possible.

Provisional number of deaths and infant mortality for a group of 88 large cities of the United States for the 52-week period from Jan. 2, 1938, to Dec. 31, 1938, and comparison with provisional and final figures for 1937

[From the Weekly Health Index, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce]

	Nu	mber of d	leaths		1	nfant me	ortality		
City	Prov	isional			Number				
City	1938 1	1937 1	Final 1937	Provisional		Final	Provisiona		Final
	1935	1937		1938 1	1937 1	1937 2	1938 3	1937 3	1937 2 4
Total (88 cities)	424, 189	449, 555	451, 446	27, 147	28, 745	29, 896	43	47	45
Akron	2,034	2, 245	2, 258	151	202	208	36	50	50
Albany	1,780	1, 940	1, 945	107	135	138	44	54	51
Atlanta	4, 325	4, 464	4, 472	441	392	388	67	64	62
White	2, 374	2, 331	2, 348	254	207	206	57	52	51
	1, 949	2, 131	2, 122	187	185	182	88	85	83
NegroOther		2, 101	2, 122	101	0	0	0	0	(
Baltimore	11, 035	11, 793	11, 789	812	832	816	52	59	57
White	8, 471	8, 959	8, 962	530	525	512	44	49	47
White		2,822	2,816	282	307	304	80	93	91
Negro				202	307	0	00	90	U
Other	9 600	3, 784	9 969	402	369	384	73	74	76
Birmingham	3, 690 1, 821	1, 905	3,862 1,928	198	200	196	59	66	64
White		1, 903	1, 932	204			94		
Negro	1,868				169	188	0	86	94
Other	10 700	11 550	2	0	0	0	45	50	
Boston	10, 739	11, 552	11, 644	722	802	815	37		51
Bridgeport	1,603	1,672	1,654	105	105	104		41	46
Buffalo	7, 127	7,715	7, 692	568	524	532	55	53	54
Cambridge	1, 382	1, 438	1,449	82	114	118	38	44 53	56
Camder	1,606	1,720	1,722	163	165	170	50		
Canton	1, 133	1, 238	1, 237	113	95	98	45	44	47
Chicago	34, 901	36, 217	37, 150	1, 738	1,820	1,897	34	37	38
Cincinnati	6, 677	7, 397	7, 406	414	476	473	46	59	56
Cleveland	9, 560	10, 297	10, 355	552	609	648	35	41	44
Columbus	4, 245	4, 487	4, 457	234	250	263	41	53	49
Dallas	3, 257	3, 458	3, 457	310	336	349	55	59	62
White	2, 436	2, 569	2, 572	234	252	257	50	56	55
Negro	821	889	884	76	84	92	83	87	100
Other.	0	0	1	0	0	0			
Dayton	2, 596	2, 933	2, 915	215	216	219	46	49	52
Denver	4, 313	4,725	4, 763	296	356	385	47	59	64
Des Moines	1,658	1,709	1,897	91	133	184	29	41	59
Detroit	12, 601	14, 182	14, 217	1, 155	1, 184	1, 194	40	43	43
Duluth	1, 202	1, 215	1, 219	67	55	62	35	29	34

Based upon telegraphic reports received each week from city health officers.

Calendar year; tabulation of transcripts received from State registrars' offices.
 The provisional infant mortality rate is computed from deaths under 1 year as reported each week, per 1,000 estimated live births for 1937 and 1933. respectively.
 Calendar year; the final infant mortality rate is the number of deaths under 1 year of age per 1,000 live

Provisional number of deaths and infant mortality for a group of 88 large cities of the United States for the 52-week period from Jan. 2, 1938, to Dec. 31, 1938, and correparison with provisional and final figures for 1937—Continued

	Nu	mber of c	leaths		1	nfant me	rtality	7	
Cita	Prov	isional			Number			Rate	в
City	1938	1937	Final 1937	Prov	isional	Final	Prov	isional	Final
	1938	1937		1938	1937	1937	1938	1937	1937
El Paso. Erie	1,398 1,273	1, 496 1, 582 1, 344 1, 591 1, 405 1, 307 1, 944 1, 538 406	1, 506 1, 469 1, 381 1, 587 1, 404 1, 294 1, 954 1, 548 406	239 83 123 102 190 72 161 130 31	275 109 120 84 176 78 163 126 37 0	280 125 121 86 183 75 177 138 39 0	87 30 63 52 52 34 49 49	105 45 69 41 49 37 55 50 86	10° 50 8 44 44 33 55 55 55 94
Other. Grand Rapids. Hartford. Houston. White. Negro.	1, 672 2, 110 4, 137 2, 893 1, 243	1,803 2,162 4,334 3,031 1,302	1,799 2,209 4,299 3,002 1,295	142 129 404 280 124	138 115 403 283 120	140 133 407 286 121	47 31 52 43 89	47 46 58 50 93	45 33 60 52 97
OtherIndianapolis	5, 325 4, 517 807	5, 637 4, 759 876	5, 467 4, 607 858	389 325 64	407 334 73	0 428 348 80	56 53 78	65 61 95	67 62 101
Other. Jersey City Kansas City, Kans White. Negro	3, 507 1, 524 1, 224 300	3, 614 1, 715 1, 344 370	3, 609 1, 723 1, 351 371	244 89 75 14	229 98 78 20	228 118 95 23	56 52 47 52	53 47 43 71	34 52 48 78
Other Kansas City, Mo Knoxville White Negro	5, 126 1, 442 1, 181 261	5, 336 1, 514 1, 196 318	5, 316 1, 526 1, 220 306	292 195 169 26	304 157 129 28	305 150 128 22	0 46 85 80 135	53 70 63 137	51 68 63 119
Long Beach Los Angeles Louisville White Negro	1, 634 16, 809 3, 642 2, 746 896	1, 693 17, 965 4, 318 3, 254 1, 063	1, 697 17, 917 4, 787 3, 745 1, 042	73 882 220 173 47	1,002 161 139 22	79 1,011 314 270 44	25 43 39 35 67	27 53 30 29 37	29 52 56 55 63
OtherLowellLynn	1, 429 1, 044 4, 187 2, 230	1, 469 1, 065 4, 421 2, 319	1, 429 1, 076 4, 485 2, 368	0 86 38 397 219	98 37 360 202	98 43 383 214	0 43 23 72 65	0 49 27 69 64	59 33 74 68
Negro	1, 953 4 1, 672 1, 243 425	2, 100 2 1, 851 1, 356 490	2, 116 1 1, 766 1, 280 478	178 0 111 75 36	158 0 124 83 41	169 0 135 89 46	85 0 45 40 62	77 0 51 44 71	82 57 49 83
Other	5, 177 5, 081 2, 698 1, 688	5, 570 5, 172 2, 679 1, 683	5, 557 5, 271 2, 688 1, 702	398 265 259 184	391 279 235 168	388 319 233 167	38 31 72 71	39 34 68 64	39 39 66 62
White	1, 010 1, 243 1, 984 8, 033 4, 872	996 1, 266 2, 081 8, 005 4, 892	986 1, 275 2, 112 8, 044 4, 903	75 81 48 808 437 371	67 77 69 749 379 370	66 79 110 750 367	76 48 21 77 64 101	79 45 33 79 66 97	80 46 35 78 63
Negro. Other. New York. Bronx Borough. Brooklyn Borough.	3, 161 0 73, 634 11, 338 25, 128	3, 113 0 77, 135 12, 011 26, 004	3, 136 5 77, 206 12, 008 26, 095	3,897 494 1,507	4, 449 645 1, 673	382 1 4, 431 629 1, 676	0 38 33 37	0 44 41 42	103 44 40 42
Manhattan BoroughQueens BoroughRichmond BoroughNorfolk	26, 054 8, 829 2, 285 4, 936 1, 338	27, 730 8, 975 2, 415 5, 038 1, 467	27, 752 8, 937 2, 414 5, 067 1, 690	1, 350 446 100 296 115	1, 597 421 113 267 144	1, 596 416 114 279 151	41 39 42 37 47	50 38 46 35	50 37 47 37 67
White Negro. Other.	731 606 1 3, 608	759 708 0 3, 636	899 788 3 3,642	48 67 0 238	59 85 0 221	66 85 0 222	32 73 0 45	46	47 102 45
Oklahoma CityOmaha	2, 203 2, 762 1, 704	2, 308 2, 925 1, 743	2, 270 2, 812 1, 757	177 158 90	192 172 91	232 165 95	41 34 32	50 44 35	59 39 37

Provisional number of deaths and infant mortality for a group of 88 large cities of the United States for the 52-week period from Jan. 2, 1938, to Dec. 31, 1938, and comparison with provisional and final figures for 1937—Continued

	Nur	nber of d	eaths		I	nfant me	ortality		
City	Prov	isional			Number			Rate	,
City	1938	1937	Final 1937	Provi	sional	Final	Provisional		Final
	1990	1957		1938	1937	1937	1938	1937	1937
Peoria	1,466	1, 568	1, 580	130	179	191	48	66	70
Philadelphia	24, 193	25, 186	25, 232	1, 239	1, 350	1, 365	40	45	48
Pittsburgh	8, 138	9, 358	9, 395	625	700	703	43	52	52
Portland, Oreg	4,001	4, 305	4, 323	149	174	172	29	36	36
Providence	3, 254	3, 456	3, 465	220	256	256	39	47	48
Richmond	2,751	2,824	2,859	257	222	240	73	66	69
White	1,656	1,688	1,713	128	106	116	52	47	50
Negro.	1,095	1, 136	1, 145	129	116	124	122	105	107
Other	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Rochester	3, 558	3, 731	3,742	192	166	166	36	32	32
St. Louis	10, 681	11, 601	11, 537	417	463	698	30	34	51
St. Paul	2,932	2.982	3,060	136	136	188	25	25	3!
Salt Lake City	1, 769	1, 894	1,900	177	132	146	45	36	40
San Antonio	3, 318	3, 578	3, 674	524	604	623	82	102	105
White	3,052	3, 285	3, 374	506	578	598	83	102	105
Negro.	259	290	295	18	26	24	71	114	103
Other	7	3	5	0 1	0	1		***	71
San Diego	2, 435	2, 556	2, 561	152	112	118	39	32	34
San Francisco	8, 533	9, 244	9, 275	225	246	267	26	31	32
Schenectady	973	1, 054	1, 051	51	66	71	34	47	51
Seattle	4,878	4, 801	4, 824	207	207	208	36	40	38
	965	895	898	54	46	47	45	38	39
Somerville	862	925	944	58	65	69	35	39	41
South Bend	1, 609	1, 705	1, 714	101	81	94	39	34	30
pokane				102	102	106	36	39	41
pringfield, Mass	1, 768 2, 502	1,875 2,675	1,880 2,689	177	157			42	42
yracuse						158	44		
racoma	1, 441	1,567	1, 587	60	58 94	61	27	29	30
rampa	1, 166	1, 269	1, 251	72		102	40	56	59
White	820	918	907	42	71	75	29	52	53
Negro.	346	343	344	30	23	27	90	73	85
Other	0 510	9 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Toledo	3, 510	3,828	3, 854	223	261	262	44	52	53
Prenton	1,773	1,885	1, 701	120	115	112	45	46	45
Utica	1, 373	1, 394	1, 454	69	93	91	37	50	49
Washington, D. C	7, 944	8, 704	8, 727	618	746	751	48	61	61
White	5, 121	5, 448	5, 456	326	342	340	37	41	41
Negro	2, 801	3, 241	3, 251	292	403	410	70	102	101
Other	22	15	20	0	1	1	40	25	40
Waterbury	953	941	1, 105	65	74	97	42	37	47
Wichita	1, 339	1, 564	1, 126	69	116	95	28		43
Vilmington, Del	1, 468	1, 590	1, 524	108	122	123	40	49	49
Worcester	2, 547	2, 705	2,723	124	155	163	35	45	46
onkers	1, 164	1, 241	1, 268	62	71	74	37	43	40
Youngstown	1,706	1,882	1,872	135	158	161	38	49	48

DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1938

[From the Weekly Health Index, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce]

		Correspond- ing week, 1937
Data from 88 large cities of the United States: Total deaths. Average for 3 prior years. Total deaths, 52 weeks of year. Deaths under 1 year of age. Average for 3 prior years. Deaths under 1 year of age. See See See See See See See See See Se	9, 171 8, 740 424, 189 479 517 27, 147 68, 321, 330 10, 406 7. 9 9, 2	1 9, 456 440, 555 1 588 28, 745 69, 942, 678 12, 866 9, 6

Data for 86 cities.

PREVALENCE OF DISEASE

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring

UNITED STATES

CURRENT WEEKLY STATE REPORTS

These reports are preliminary, and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers.

In these and the following tables, a zero (0) indicates a positive report and has the same significance as any other figure, while leaders (......) represent no report, with the implication that cases or deaths may have occurred but were not reported to the State health officer.

Cases of certain diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for the week ended January 7, 1939, rates per 100,000 population (annual basis), and comparison with corresponding week of 1938 and 5-year median

		Diph	theria			Infl	uenza		Measles			
Division and State	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934– 38, me- dian	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934– 38, me- dian	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934- 38, me- dian
NEW ENG.												
Maine New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut	24 0 0 12 0 6	4 0 0 10 0 2	2 0 1 5 0 7	0 0 11 1 4	30	10	13	18	30 10 174 417 8 425	5 1 13 354 1 143	74 42 237 115 0 17	74 24 64 241 11 93
New York	10 29 22	26 24 43	24 17 37	42 22 68	130 17	1 44 14	123 22	126 22	406 29 38	1, 014 24 75	294 1, 028 2, 633	543 39 501
E. NO. CEN. Ohio	48 61 34 5 4	62 41 52 5 2	23 38 48 19	33 38 48 13 7	18 12 109	12 18 62	7 22 22 22 28	10 56 22 42	28 16 30 200 632	36 11 45 189 359	594 200 2, 627 320 390	103 166 141 22 163
W. NO. CEN. Minnesota	6 18 17 29 53 31 28	3 9 13 4 7 8 10	5 4 42 2 12 2 12 2	5 8 42 2 0 5 12	90 249 45	70 34 6	1 2 113 5 1 10 10	1 2 150 5 1 10 10	1, 207 262 9 2, 204 2, 931 149 25	622 129 7 301 389 39	7 51 1, 212 31 0 8 101	64 51 161 31 5 33 31
BO. ATL. Delaware	39 6 57 69 30 48 38 27 15	2 2 7 37 11 33 14 16 5	0 19 6 19 18 43 2 17	4 11 8 34 18 43 5 13	12 16 852 57 4 2, 488 221 3	4 2 454 21 3 909 133 1	15 2 66 24 533	37 4 81 28 720	59 772 24 113 38 464 14 101 211	3 250 3 60 14 317 5 61 70	6 11 14 199 361 831 168 246 132	7 26 14 199 28 604 16

See footnotes at end of table.

Cases of certain diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for the week ended January 7, 1939, rates per 100,000 population (annual basis), and comparison with corresponding week of 1938 and 5-year median—Continued

		Dipl	theria			Infl	uenza			Me	asles	
Division and State	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934- 38, me- dian	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934- 38, me- dian	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934– 38, me- dian
E. 90. CEN.												
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama 4 Mississippi 3 4	18	14 10 12 6	13 17 12 11	19 17 23 12	97 64 279	56 36 158	69 147 377	18 147 250	104 12 81	60 7 46	262 361 77	196 11 77
W. SO. CEN.												
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas ⁴	35 27 36 28	14 11 18 34	8 13 19 66	12 13 16 76	450 17 447 408	181 7 222 492	92 42 87 427	87 20 93 423	109 153 351 41	63 174 50	49 3 7 51	11 7 88
MOUNTAIN												
Montana Idaho Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah 3 PACIFIC	51	3 5 0 16 5 8 0	4 0 1 12 4 9 12	1 0 1 9 4 5	101 25 1,697 70	21 2 138 7	3 2 106	17 1 2 106	2, 706 645 305 207 62 25 159	288 63 14 43 5 2 16	8 9 4 174 57 6 48	8 11 4 8 19 8 48
Washington	0	0	5	2					562	182	17	44
Oregon California	25	31	5 2 45	2 2 40	354 34	71 41	56 78	56 78	90 859	18 1, 046	23 43	23 126
Total	25	639	694	700	154	3, 255	2, 423	2, 423	270	6,670	13, 148	8, 578
	Mei	ningitis	, meni	ngo-		Polion	oyelitis			Scarle	t fever	
Division and State	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934- 38, me- dian	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934- 38, me- dian	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934- 38, me- dian
NEW ENG.												
Maine New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut	0 0 0 1.2 0	0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 1.2 0	0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	67 152 67 167 46 116	11 15 5 142 6 39	26 20 15 240 26 78	22 7 15 228 24 63
MID. ATL. New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	2.4 0 1	6 0 2	5 6 1	5 3 3	0 0	0 0	1 0 0	2 2 0	145 155 143	361 130 281	549 117 248	549 121 528
E. NO. CEN. Ohio	3 6 1.3 0	4 4 2 0 0	5 1 3 2 1	3 2 9 2 1	0.8 0 2 0	1 0 3 0	2 0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	494 384 251 263 331	642 258 383 248 188	318 190 658 331 181	372 175 521 194 274
W. NO. CEN.										-00	201	
Minnesota	0 0 1.3 0 0 4 6	0 0 1 0 0 1 2	1 4 0 0 0 2 5	1 3 1 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 11 2.8	1 0 0 0 0 0 3 1	1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	173 191 191 205 219 187 554	89 94 148 28 29 49 198	132 193 224 26 31 38 201	131 100 134 27 45 38 143

Cases of certain diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for the week ended January 7, 1939, rates per 100,000 population (annual basis), and comparison with corresponding week of 1938 and 5-year median—Continued

	Me		s, menii cus	ngo-		Polic	myeli	tis		Scarle	t fever	
Division and State	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934– 38, me- dian	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan 7, 1939 case	8,	38, me	1939,	Jan.7 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934– 38, me- dian
SO. ATL.											*	
Delaware Maryland Dist. of Col. Virginia. West Virginia. North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida.	8 4 0 2.9 16	0 1 1 2 0 2 6 0 3	0 3 1 5 0 0 1 0 4	0 3 1 4 2 2 1 2 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 5 0		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	90 89 101 162 76 68 30	29	21 54 20 34 75 58 3 18 9	19 81 18 58 75 58 10 9
E. SO. CEN.												
Kentucky	. 5	2 3 3 1	7 6 8 3	7 4 3 1	1.7 0 0 0	1		0 0	67	78 38 14 16	72 50 14 13	72 50 19 13
W. SO. CEN.												
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas 4	7 2	2 3 1 0	1 5 1 1	1 1 2 2	0 0 0.8	0 0		1 0	56 95	20 23 47 73	13 - 10 101 95	13 15 39 79
MOUNTAIN												
Montana Idaho Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah ¹	0 0 0 25	0 0 0 0 2 0	0 0 1 1 2 2	1 0 0 1 1 2 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		0	196 260 124 0	21 14 9 54 10 0 26	37 25 28 33 16 10 77	35 25 18 58 24 15 61
PACIFIC												
WashingtonOregonCalifornia	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 1 2	0 0 2	0 5 0	0 1 0	1	0	182 543 192	59 109 234	48 41 207	49 51 207
Total	2.4	60	95	95	0.6	16	20	21	178	4, 459	5, 024	5, 167
		Sma	allpox		1	Typho		l parat; ver	phoid	Who	oping co	ugh
Division and State	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934 38, me dia	1	n. 7, 939, ate	(an. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934- 38, me- dian	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases
NEW ENG.				T								
Maine New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut	0 0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 2 0 2	1 0 0 2 0 1	219 20 777 292 299 279	36 2 58 248 39 94	131 6 50 180 36 49
MID. ATL.		-								-		
New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	0	0	0	1	0	8	777	1 6	5 2 11	237 566 109	590 475 215	349 215 208

Cases of certain diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for the week ended January 7, 1939, rates per 100,000 population (annual basis), and comparison with corresponding week of 1938 and 5-year median—Continued

		Sma	allpox		Тур		d paraty ver	phoid	Who	oping e	ough
Division and State	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934- 38, me- dian	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases	1934- 38, me- dian	Jan. 7, 1939, rate	Jan. 7, 1939, cases	Jan. 8, 1938, cases
E. NO. CEN.											
Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan ¹ Wisconsin	18 76 12 3 18	24 51 18 3 10	6 34 32 0 1	2 7 8 0 11	3 1 1 0 0	4 1 2 0 0	1 1 1 2 2	1 4 2 0	219 46 275 106 368	285 31 419 100 209	42 23 104 99 121
W. NO. CEN.											
Minnesota Iowa Missouri North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas	17 32 14 59 105 42 20	9 16 11 8 14 11 7	61 55 38 8 5 1 19	5 7 12 5 3 6	0 8 3 7 0 0 3	0 4 2 1 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 0 2 2	1 0 2 0 0 0 2 1	68 12 13 168 23 46 64	35 6 10 23 3 12 23	66 32 48 25 19 16 104
BO. ATL.											
Delaware	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 6 0 19 5 1 11 3	2 2 0 10 2 1 4 2	0 4 0 2 7 7 4 3 2	0 4 0 9 2 5 4 3	118 108 170 152 48 262 186 32 27	6 35 21 81 18 179 68 19	8 55 17 118 72 328 65 9
E. SO. CEN.											
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama 4 Mississippi 24	10 2 0 0	6 1 0 0	64 7 2 1	0 0 0 1	9 0 0 10	5 0 0 4	1 1 3 0	5 3 3	16 14 25	19 8 14	90 29 22
W. 80. CEN.											
ArkansasLouisianaOklahomaOksas 4	5 2 54 1	2 1 27 1	9 0 9 0	1 0 0 3	0 27 2 10	0 11 1 12	1 4 4 22	2 7 3 20	55 17 26 53	22 7 13 64	14 140
MOUNTAIN											
Montana Idaho Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah ¹	38 123 0 29 0 98 0	12 0 6 0 8 0	13 12 5 12 0 0	13 0 4 3 0 0	10 0 0 10 0 25 0	1 0 0 2 0 2 0	1 3 0 1 3 4 0	0 1 0 1 4	47 41 - 65 236 49 221 119	5 4 3 49 4 18 12	67 32 14 10 19 19 20
PACIFIC						11					
Washington Oregon California	34 25 20	11 8 24	23 11 25	6 8 12	3 0 2	1 0 2	1 3 13	1 2 8	93 40 54	30 8 66	134 10 390
Total	12	291	459	175	4	98	123	123	150	3, 695	3, 627

New York City only.
 Period ended earlier than Saturday.
 Rocky Mountain spotted fever, week ended Jan. 7, 1939, District of Columbia, 1 case.
 Typhus fever, week ended Jan. 7, 1939, 47 cases as follows: North Carolina, 2; South Carolina, 9; Georgia, 16; Alabama, 2; Mississippi, 2; Texas, 16.

SUMMARY OF MONTHLY REPORTS FROM STATES

The following summary of cases reported monthly by States is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week.

State	Meningitis, meningococ- cus	Diph- theria	Influ- enza	Ma- laria	Mea- sles	Pel- lagra	Polio- mye- litis	Scarlet fever	Small- por	Ty- phoid and paraty- phoid fever
November 1938 Alaska Oregon Virginia December 1938	0 3 3	2 9 307	6 45 462	6	38 75	9	0 1 1	1 172 200	0 13 0	0 7 12
DelawarePennsylvania	0 11	2 192		1	5 277	1	0 2	43 1, 222	0	1 32

November 1938		November 1938	December 1938
	Cases 11 319 153 1 1 47 1 6 150 10 1 67 72 7	Rocky Mountain spotted fever—Continued. Virginia Scabies: Oregon. Septic sore throat: Alaska. Oregon. Virginia Trachoma: Virginia Tularaemia: Virginia Typhus fever: Virginia Undulant fever: Virginia Vincent's infection: Alaska. Oregon. Whooping cough: Alaska. Oregon.	Delaware: Cases Chickenpox 58

DIPHTHERIA IN JUNEAU, ALASKA

Senior Surg. T. F. Worley, of the Public Health Service, detailed to the Office of Indian Affairs, under date of January 9 reported 6 cases of diphtheria among white persons in Juneau, Alaska, 5 of which occurred in 1 family. Dr. Worley believed that there was no cause for alarm as the schools were closed, and most of the school and preschool children had been immunized.

PLAGUE INFECTION IN FLEAS FROM GROUND SQUIRRELS IN SAN BENITO AND SANTA CLARA COUNTIES, CALIF.

Under date of Jan. 4, 1939, Dr. W. M. Dickie, Director of Public Health of California, reported plague infection proved, by animal inoculation, in a pool of 75 fleas from 21 beecheyi squirrels, submitted to the laboratory on Dec. 3, 1938, taken on a ranch 16 miles southeast of Gilroy, Santa Clara County, Calif., and in another pool of 135

fleas from 10 beecheyi squirrels, submitted to the laboratory on Dec. 22, 1938, from a ranch 4 miles north and 9 miles east of Hollister, San Benito County, Calif.

WEEKLY REPORTS FROM CITIES

City reports for week ended December 31, 1938

This table summarizes the reports received weekly from a selected list of 140 cities for the purpose of showing a cross section of the current urban incidence of the communicable diseases listed in the table.

State and sit-	Diph-			Mea-	Pneu-	Scar- let	Small-Tuber-		Ty- phoid	Whoop-	Deaths,
State and city	theria cases	Cases	Deaths	sles	monia deaths	fever cases	pox	culosis deaths	fever cases	cases	causes
Data for 90 cities:											
5-year average	214	639	112	1,674	950	1, 498 1, 085	24	372	27	1,011	
Current week 1_	140	144	54	1,479	701	1,085	17	313	17	1, 120	*******
Maine:											
Portland	0		0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	21
New Hampshire: Concord	0		0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	10
Manchester	ő		ő	0	ı	1	ő	0	0	0	16 11
Nashua	0		0	Ö	i	Ô	o.	o l	ő	ő	12
Vermont:								-			10
Barre	0		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Burlington	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Rutland Massachusetts:	0		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
Boston	3		0	46	8	54	0	7	0	38	017
Fall River	0		0	0	î	0	0	2	0	0	217 32
Springfield	0		0	14	il	3	0	2 0	ő	0	33
Worcester	3		0	0	10	5	ő	2	0	15	61
Rhode Island:											
Pawtucket	0		0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	11
Providence	0	1	0	0	6	6	0	4	0	20	80
Connecticut: Bridgeport	1		0	1	3	0	0	2	0	4	30
Hartford	ô	1	ő	4	6	1	ő	ő	0	11	39
New Haven	0	î	ő	5	2	î	ő	i	ő	4	54
New York:											
Buffalo	2		0	30	10	28	0	3	0	9	145
New York	32	12	4	39	107	121	o l	74	4	145	1, 598
Rochester	0		0	16	6	15	ő	0	ō	10	72
Syracuse	0		0	3	2	4	0	1	0	26	67
New Jersey:											
Camden	1	4	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	0	32
Newark	0		2	5	7	25	0	3	0	54	116
Trenton Pennsylvania:	0	1	0	0	4	6	0	3	0	2	37
Philadelphia	2	3	3	6	25	33	0	19	4	94	490
Pittsburgh	7	3	2	3	25 17	28	- 0	12	o l	22	180
Reading	i		1	3 0	2	1	ő	2	ő	1	28
Scranton	0			0		12	0		0	6	
Ohio:			1								
Cincinnati	3		3	2	22	13	0	7	0	4	169
Cleveland	0	14	3	6	23	83	0	7 2 2	0	44	197
Columbus	0	1	1	0 2	7	9	0	2	0	.1	94
Toledo	0		0	2	6	21	0	4	0	14	82
Anderson	0		0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	6
Fort Wayne	2		0 l	2	4	8	0	o l	ő	0	28
Indianapolis	0		1	2 2 0	18	22	13	2	o l	0	123
South Bend	0		0		2	1	0	0	0	7 0	18
Terre Haute	0		0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	19
llinois:					-						
Alton	18	9	0	12	48	142	0	0	0	0	11
Chicago Elgin	0	9	0	12	0		0	40	0	223	774
Moline	o l		0	0	1	3 2	0	0	0	2	7
Springfield	0	*****	0	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	12 23
Michigan:	0		0		-	0	0	0	0	0	43
Detroit	9		0	8	27	132	0	12	1	106	274
Flint	0 .		0	95	8	29	0	1 0	1 0	2	29
Grand Rapids	0 1	1	0	0	4	21	0	0	0	5	31

¹ Figures for Boise, Idaho, estimated; report not received.

City reports for week ended December 31, 1938-Continued

State and city	Diph-	Infl	Influenza		Pneu-	monia let	Small- pox	Tuber- culosis	Ty- phoid	Whoop- ing	Deaths
State and city	theria cases	Cases	Deaths	sles cases	deaths	fever	cases	deaths	fever cases	cases	causes
Wisconsin:						1.3					
Kenosha	0		0	0	1	5	0	1	0	8	13
Milwaukee	0	1	1 0	3	8 0	55	0	1	0	105	- 90
Racine	0		0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1: 90 1: 1:
Minnesota:											
Duluth	0		1	1	2	2	0	1	0	2	20
Minneapolis	0		0	42 343	2	29 15	0	1 3	0	3	100
St. Paulowa:	3	*****	0		6			0			- 01
Cedar Rapids	0			0		8	0		0	0	
Davenport Des Moines	0		0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	31
Sioux City	0			60	0	1	0	0	1	2	0.
Waterloo	3		*******	0		4	ő		ō	ō	
Missouri:						-					
Kansas City	1		0	0	16	10	1	5	0	0	120
St. Joseph	0		0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	34
St. Louis	2		1	2	17	19	1	10	0	7	206
North Dakota:				_							
Grand Forks	0	*****	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	7
Grand Forks	0			0		0	0		0	0	
Minot	0		0.	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
outh Dakota:				0		0	1	0		0	
Aberdeen Sioux Falls	0		0	196	0	0	ő	ő	0	0	10
Vebraska:	0		0	100	0	۰		۰		0	10
Omaha	0		0	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	55
Cansas:				-	- 1	- 1	-	"	-	. "	-
Lawrence	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
Topeka	0	1	1	0	7	2	0	0	0	0	32
Wichita	1		0	0	4	2	0	1	0	0	45
Delaware:											
Wilmington	0		0	0	9	4	0	0	0	0	29
Maryland:											
Baltimore	1	8	3	134	23	10	0	9	1	19	220
Cumberland	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
Frederick	0		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Dist. of Col.:	.	-			4.						***
Washington	1	7	3	1	16	5	0	6	0	12	154
'irginia: Lynchburg	1		2	0	0	1	0	0	0	12	18
Nortolk	i	8	ő	ő	7	3	ő	ő	ő	1	29
Richmond	ô	0	ĭ	ő	4	3	o l	2	1	5	65
Roanoke	0		ő	1	2	3	ő	ō	ō	o l	24
Vest Virginia:	-		- 1	-					-	-	
Charleston	0		0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	12
Huntington	3			0		0	0		0	0	
Wheeling	0		0	0	1	0	0	2	0	4	28
Torth Carolina:			- 1								
Gastonia	0			0		0	0		0	0 5	
Raleigh	0		0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0 1	10
Wilmington Winston-Salem	0		0	0 7	0	0	0	0	0	ő	14
outh Carolina:	0		٧	'	• 1	۰	٧	0	0	١	14
Charleston	0	25	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	15
Greenville	ŏ l		0	ĭ	2	ō	ő	ŏ	ő	ŏ	14
eorgia:	- 1				- 1	- 1	-	-	1	*	-
Atlanta	5	2	2	0	20	3	0	4	0	1	96
Brunswick	0		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Savannah	0	21	3	4	3	0	0	1	0	1	43
lorida:				- 1	- 1	- 1		-	-		40
Miami Tampa	0		0	0	5 5	0	0	0 3	0	1 2	42 37
	"		"	-1	"	-1	"	-	- 1	-1	•
lentucky:				-					- 1		
Ashland	0 .		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	9
Covington	1	1	0	0	0	11	0	1	0	0	22 19
Lexington	0 .		0	0	9	2	0	0	0	0	
Louisville	1 .		2	3	4	14	0	6	0	0	135
Knoxville	1	2	2	0	5	1	0	0	0	2	34
Memphis	2	-	i	0	4	10	0	3	0	î	59
Nashville	2 2	*****	1 -	0	3	2	ő	2	0	41	69
labama:	-		-	0	"	-	"	-	"		-
Birmingham	1	8	0	1	10	6	0	3	0	0	79
	1 .		2	0	4	1 2	0	2	0	. 0	20
Mobile Montgomery	o l			ol.			0 1-			õl.	

City reports for week ended December 31, 1938-Continued

State and city	Diph	. 1	luenza	Mea-	Pneu- monia	Scar- let	Small- pox	Tuber- culosis deaths	phond	Whoop-	Deaths,
State and city	theris		Deaths	cases	deaths	fever	cases			cases	causes
Arkansas:											
Fort Smith	0			0		2	0		0	0	
Little Rock	0		0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	
Louisiana: Lake Charles	0		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
New Orleans	. 6		3	20	18	6	ő	4	3	4	143
Shreveport	2		0	1	8	3	0	3	0	0	4
Oklahoma:										0	54
Oklahoma City.	0		0	0	8	3 2	0	0	0	0	0
Tulsa Texas:	U	******			******	-			0		
Dallas	2	1	1	0	3	8	0	3	0	0	6
Fort Worth	0		0	0	8	1	0	0	0	0	50
Galveston	0		0		1 1		0		0	0	1:
Houston	1 0	2	0 3	0	13	4	0	7	0	0	6
San Antonio	U	1 2	0	0	14			'			
Montana:		1									
Billings	0		0	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Great Falls	0		0	1 2	0	6	0	0	0	0	10
Helena Missoula	0		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
Idaho:				U	0					1	
Boise											
Colorado:											
Colorado			0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	12
Springs Denver	0		0	2	12	3	0	0	0	14	96
Pueblo	0		1	2	2	8	0	0	0	0	96
New Mexico:		1									
Albuquerque	0		0	0	3	1	0	2	0	2	12
Utah: Salt Lake City.	0		0	0	2	4	0	0	0	3	18
Washington:											
Seattle	0		0	0	7	7	0	3	0	4 0	121
Spokane	0	1	1 0	13	1 2	3	0	0	0	8	32
Oregon:					- 1						-
Portland	0	2	0	5	4	4	0	1	0	0	93
Salem	0			1		8	0		0	1	
California: Los Angeles	15	8	1	17	18	45	0	20	0	-11	342
Sacramento	1		ô	0	5	0	2	3	0	0	35
San Francisco	4	2	Ö	506	12	12	0	0	0	27	183
State and city		Meningitis, meningococcus		Polio- mye-		State and city			Meningitis, meningococcus		Polio- mye-
		Cases	Deaths	litis cases					Cases	Deaths	litis
Massachusetts:					Sout	h Carol	lina:				
Worcester New York:		1	0	(Geor	Charles	ton		0	0	2
Buffalo		1	1	(Geor	Atlanta			0	0	1
New York		1	ō	. (Ken	tucky:					
Pennsylvania:	1		-			Louisvi	ile		1	0	(
Pittsburgh		1	0	(Ten	nessee:	le		2	0	
Ohio: Toldeo		1	1	(Nasnvii isiana:		*****	-	0	,
			-	,	Louis		leans		1	1	6
Illinois:											
Illinois: Chicago		1	0	(1 1	Shreven	ort		0	1	0
Illinois:		1 0	0	(Cali	Shreven fornia:	geles	******		1	0

Encephalitis, epidemic or lethargic.—Cases: New York, 2.
Pellagra.—Cases: Charleston, S. C., 2; Savannah, 1; Los Angeles, 1.
Typhus ferer.—Cases: Richmond, 2; Charleston, S. C., 1; Atlanta, 1; Savannah, 1; Dallas, 1; Los Angeles, 1.

FOREIGN AND INSULAR

FINLAND

Communicable diseases—November 1938.—During the month of November 1938, cases of certain communicable diseases were reported in Finland as follows:

Disease	Cases	Disease	Cases
Diphtheria Dysentery Influenza Paratyphoid fever	413 3 1,831 20	Pollomyelitis	94 590 17 6

IRISH FREE STATE

Vital statistics—Quarter ended September 30, 1938.—The following vital statistics for the Irish Free State for the quarter ended September 30, 1938, are taken from the Quarterly Return of Marriages, Births, and Deaths, issued by the Registrar General and are provisional:

	Num- ber	Rate per 1,000 pop- ulation		Num- ber	Rate per 1,000 popula- tion
Marriages Births Total deaths Deaths under 1 year Deaths from: Cancer	4, 377 14, 715 8, 618 802 890	6.0 20.0 11.7 155	Deaths from—Continued. Influenza. Measles. Puer peral sepsis. Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis (all forms)	85 11 9 14 682	0.1
Diarrhea and enteritis (under 2 years)	146 68		Typhoid fever	7 42	

¹ Per 1,000 live births.

STRAITS SETTLEMENTS

Vital statistics—Year 1937.—During the year 1937, the following numbers of births and deaths have been reported in Straits Settlements:

	Number	Rate per 1,000		Number	Rate per 1,000
Population Births Deaths Deaths Deaths under I year Maternal deaths Deaths from: Accidents Beriberi Bronchitis Cancer Cerebrospinal fever Cholera Convulsions, infantile Diabetes Diarrhea and enteritis Diphtheria Dysentery Erysipelas Heart disease	1, 245, 739 52, 483 27, 974 8, 177 370 371 853 625 431 14 1 13 4, 286 8, 1, 373 888 275 11 742	42.13 22.45 155.80 17.05	Deaths from—Continued. Homicide. Hookworm disease. Influenza. Leprosy. Lethargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Nephritis, acute and chronic. Pneumonia (all forms). Poliomyelitis. Syphilis. Syphilis. Smallpox. Suicide. Tetanus. Tuberculosis (all forms). Typhoid fever. Typhus fever. Whooping cough.	34 33 174 164 165 6 652 2,712 217 1 1 146 251 2,464 110 2	0.9

¹ Per 1,000 live births.

YUGOSLAVIA

Communicable diseases—4 weeks ended December 4, 1938.—During the 4 weeks ended December 4, 1938, certain communicable diseases were reported in Yugoslavia as follows:

Disease	Cases	Deaths	Disease	Cases	Deaths
Anthrax. Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria and croup Dysentery Erysipelas Favus Paratyphoid fever	30 14 866 32 203 3 28	1 3 35 5	Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever Sepsis Tetanus Typhoid fever Typhus fever	6 476 13 18 555 8	1 4 1 5 28

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER

NOTE.—A table giving current information of the world prevalence of quarantinable diseases appeared in the Public Health Reports for December 30, 1938, pages 2298-2309. A similar cumulative table will appear in future issues of the Public Health Reports for the last Friday of each month.

Plague

Hawaii Territory—Island of Hawaii—Hamakua District.—Rats proved positive for plague have been found in Hamakua District, Island of Hawaii, Hawaii Territory, as follows: Hamakua Mill Sector—for the period November 29 to December 9, 1938, 23 rats; Paauhau Sector—November 29 and 30, 1938, 3 rats.

Siam—Tak urban area.—During the week ended December 31, 1938, 3 cases of plague were reported in Tak urban area, Siam.

Imported.

United States—California.—A report of plague-infected fleas in San Benito and Santa Clara Counties, California, appears on page 88 of this issue of Public Health Reports.

Smallpox

Dutch East Indies—Surabaya.—During the week ended December 17, 1938, 1 imported case of smallpox was reported in Surabaya, Dutch East Indies.

Yellow Fever

Ivory Coast—Angeles Plantation.—On December 17, 1938, 1 case of yellow fever with 1 death was reported at Angeles Plantation, near Akoupe, Ivory Coast.